

Press Release – For Immediate Release

# Valued at £67 Billion, the Monarchy is Britain's Greatest Treasure

- Brand Finance estimates the capital value of the UK Monarchy as a business at £67.5bn
- Monarchy's annual contribution to the UK economy in 2017 is £1.766bn
- Annual cost per head is less than £4.50 a year, equal to just over 1p a day

#### View the Brand Finance Monarchy 2017 report here

As the Queen and Duke of Edinburgh celebrate their Platinum Wedding Anniversary, leading brand and business valuation consultancy Brand Finance has estimated the total worth of the UK Monarchy. Growing every year since the inception of the study in 2012, the value of the British Monarchy now amounts to approximately £67.5 billion.

The Monarchy's tangible assets – the Crown Estate, the Duchies of Lancaster and Cornwall, and the Royal Collection, including the Crown Jewels – account for £25.5 billion. The intangible value, understood as the present value of the benefits that the Monarchy is expected to bring the UK economy over the years, constitutes the remaining £42 billion.

Brand Finance estimates that in 2017 the Monarchy generated a gross uplift of £1.766 billion to the UK economy. The contribution includes the Crown Estate's surplus as well as the Monarchy's indirect effect on various industries. The respect for the institution boosts the price and volume premium of brands boasting a Royal Warrant or a Coat of Arms; the appeal of pomp and circumstance set in living royal residences draws millions of tourists; the mystique surrounding the Monarchy adds to the popularity of shows like *The Crown* and *Victoria* that offer a glimpse of the private lives of the Royal Family.

The economic benefits generated by the Monarchy come at a very low cost to the British nation, equal to only £4.50 per person per year or just over 1p a day. The total costs of the Monarchy, totalling approximately £292 million, include the Sovereign Grant, earnings from the Duchies of Lancaster and Cornwall ceded to the Queen and the Prince of Wales, and security expenses, among others. A significant proportion of these costs is in fact incurred by residence maintenance, staff salaries, and travel expenditures required by any head of state.

David Haigh, CEO of Brand Finance, commented:

"Exactly 25 years ago, the Windsor Castle fire marked the nadir of the Queen's annus horribilis when scandals drove the Monarchy's popularity down. Today, its universal appeal translates to the attraction of Brand Monarchy offering considerable commercial benefits to all businesses and institutions associated with it."

"The Monarchy is Britain's national treasure, both symbolically and economically. Especially in the age of Brexit, Britain can rely on royal diplomacy to facilitate trade relations with the Commonwealth and the rest of the world."

#### Note to Editors

For full findings, expert insights, and methodology, please consult the <u>Brand Finance Monarchy</u> <u>2017 report</u>.

If you would like to learn more about the report's findings first-hand, join our <u>launch event at the</u> <u>City of London's Brand Exchange on the 20th of November</u> at 6pm for 6.30pm. Speakers include David Haigh, CEO of Brand Finance; Clive Cheesman, Richmond Herald at the College of Arms; Ben Marson, Director of Partnerships at The Prince's Trust; and Professor Qing Wang, Professor of Marketing and Innovation at the University of Warwick.

## Media Contacts

Konrad Jagodzinski, Head of Communications T: +44 (0)2073899400 M: +44 (0)7508304782 <u>k.jagodzinski@brandfinance.com</u>

Joslyn Pannu, Communications Manager T: +44 (0)2073899400 M: +44 (0)7885666236 j.pannu@brandfinance.com

### **About Brand Finance**

<u>Brand Finance</u> is the world's leading brand and business valuation consultancy, with offices in over 20 countries. We provide clarity to marketers, brand managers and investors by quantifying the financial value of brands. Drawing on expertise in strategy, branding, market research, visual identity, finance, tax and intellectual property, Brand Finance helps clients make the right decisions to maximise brand and business value and bridges the gap between marketing and finance.

#### Methodology

#### Definitions

The study distinguishes between the Monarchy and the Royal Family. The Monarchy is the institution of the hereditary head of state and its associated symbolism, while the term Royal Family refers to the incumbent monarch and their relatives as private persons. Both terms pertain to their application within the United Kingdom.

As such, the study looks at the commercial value that the institution of Monarchy constitutes and generates to the UK, rather than examining the private finances of the Windsor family. The Royal Family is relevant to this study only insofar as and when they act as carriers of the institution of Monarchy.

#### Approach

Brand Finance has estimated the value of the Monarchy as if it were a branded business. As assessing a branded business value involves a valuation of assets and revenue streams attached

to a specific brand, we looked at the tangible value associated with the Monarchy as well as the intangible value, understood as the net present value of the Monarchy's net annual contribution to the UK economy.

#### Tangible Assets

In arriving at the tangible value, we have included the worth of the Crown Estate, the Duchies of Lancaster and Cornwall, as given in audited public financial statements, and an estimation of the capital value of the Royal Collection. By different ownership routes these assets all belong to the nation as a whole while at the same time being directly linked to the Monarchy. They include business holdings, real estate, and valuable antiques, and as such are appreciating assets, generating revenue for the upkeep of the Monarchy and for the benefit of the nation.

The study does not take into account the private possessions of the Royal Family, including the Balmoral and Sandringham estates, as they are not in public ownership and thus do not influence the profit and loss of the Monarchy as a public institution.

#### Net Annual Contribution

In arriving at the net annual contribution, we have included both economic benefits and costs which we believed were impacted by the Monarchy.

Benefits include the direct surplus generated by the Crown Estate, as given in its annual report, and estimations of indirect annual uplifts to the UK economy, based on Brand Finance's research, analysis, and experience. For instance, the impact of Royal Warrants and Coats of Arms was estimated using notional royalty rates, illustrating what could reasonably be charged for their use as licensed trademarks.

The study does not include any estimation of the stability factor that the Monarchy confers on UK capital markets, thereby reducing market risk and cost of capital.

Costs include the Sovereign Grant derived from the surplus of the Crown Estate, the annuity of the Duke of Edinburgh paid directly from the Treasury, earnings from the Duchies of Lancaster and Cornwall ceded by the state to the Queen and the Prince of Wales, and security expenses, among others. A significant proportion of these costs is in fact incurred by residence maintenance, staff salaries, and travel expenditures required by any head of state. The data for this part of the analysis has been drawn from various reputable sources such as the Royal Household and Republic.

#### Intangible Value

After netting off the benefits against the costs, the net annual economic contribution estimated for 2017 was forecast over a five-year period (2018-2022) using a growth rate sourced from the Office for Budget Responsibility. A discount rate was applied to each forecast period in order to convert the economic value uplift to a net present value and thus factor in the time value of money. The rate utilised was based on the yield on UK 30-year bonds at the time of the valuation.

We also calculated the economic value uplift into perpetuity from Year 5 (2022) by applying a perpetuity growth factor (using the 30-year compound annual growth rate of UK GDP) to the final explicit period's net economic contribution. The study ignores tax as tax is paid to the Treasury.